



0000065857

ORIGINAL

18N

BEFORE

ON COMMISSION RECEIVED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER

2002 JUL -8 P 1:42

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
SMITH BAGLEY, INC. FOR DESIGNATION AS
AN ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
CARRIER UNDER 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2).

DOCKET NO. T-02556A-01-0931

**NOTIFICATION OF
INTERVENTION****BY THE COMMISSION:**

On November 26, 2001, Smith Bagley, Inc. ("SMI") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier under 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2).

On April 4, 2002, SMI filed an amended application that requested additional areas of service.

On June 13, 2002, Table Top Telephone Company, Inc. ("Table Top") filed a Motion to Intervene.

There has been no opposition received to the request to intervene by Table Top.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Motion to Intervene is hereby granted.

DATED this 8th day of July, 2002.


LYN FARMER
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE


Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
this 8th day of July, 2002 to:

Jeffrey W. Crockett
One Arizona Center
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202
Attorney for Table Top Telephone Company, Inc.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JUL - 8 2002

DOCKETED BY



1 David A. LaFuria
2 Allison M. Jones
3 LUKAS NACE GUITERREZ
4 & SACHS, CHARTERED
5 1111 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Suite 1200
6 Washington, DC 20036
7 Attorneys for Smith Bagley, Inc.


8 Robert J. Metli
9 CHEIFETZ & IANNITELLI, P.C.
10 3238 N. 16th Street
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85016
12 Attorneys for Citizens

13 Curt Huttzell, Ph.D
14 CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
15 4 Triad Center, Suite 200
16 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180

17 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
18 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
19 Legal Division
20 1200 West Washington
21 Phoenix, AZ 85007

22 Ernest Johnson, Director
23 Utilities Division
24 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
25 1200 West Washington Street
26 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

27 By:

28 
Molly Johnson
Secretary to Lyn Farmer

OCT. 28



NAL

ORIGINAL

TO 9160238260700560 P.02/03



RECEIVED

2002 OCT 29 P 12:46

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

GVNW CONSULTING, INC.

8050 SW WARM SPRINGS STREET
SUITE 200
P.O. BOX 2330
TUALATIN, OR 97062
TEL 503.612.4400
FAX 503.612.4401
www.gvnw.com

T-02556A-01-0931

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL
August 8, 2002

Mr. Steve Olea
Acting Director, Utilities
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

OCT 29 2002

DOCKETED BY	CAR
-------------	-----

Dear Mr. Olea:

RE: SBI request for additional ETC authority

Mr. John Hayes, General Manager of the Table Top Telephone Company (TTTC), has requested that I file this letter on behalf of TTTC. The Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) has requested additional input pertaining to the request of Smith Bagley (SBI) to expand its ETC status within the state of Arizona. While it would appear, based on several of the comments at the recent hearing that the Commission is predisposed to granting such a request, we appreciate the opportunity to add to the record certain public policy issues that may have been overlooked in the rush to promote Smith Bagley's competitive experiment.

The ACC should implement certain administrative procedures prior to granting additional authority to Smith Bagley

As the ACC and its staff may be aware, the National Telecommunications Cooperative Association (NTCA) filed an important Petition for Expedited Rulemaking with the FCC on July 26, 2002.

The purpose of this filing is, *inter alia*, to provide clarification for which lines should be eligible for support for a competitive eligible telecommunications carrier (CETC). NTCA documented its legitimate concerns as to the rapid growth in the federal universal service support mechanism due to certain duplicative support currently provided to CETCs.

CETCs, including Smith Bagley, are currently receiving support for service to customers who already receive the "federally supported" services from ILECs. Since current FCC rules do not clearly define terms such as "captured" or "new" subscriber lines, the recent rapid growth in federal high-cost support will be exacerbated especially if the ACC grants SBI's instant request without first considering the impact of the NTCA Petition.

We encourage the ACC to initiate an investigation of what additional administrative procedures may be required of its staff prior to increasing the scope of authority for a CETC whose eligibility may be impacted if in fact the FCC determines to grant NTCA's request as outlined in its July 26 petition.

We are available to answer any additional questions, and have attached a copy of the NTCA petition for your reference.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey H. Smith
Consulting Manager

Enclosures -copy of summary items from NTCA July 26, 2002 FCC filing
Copy to Mr. John Hayes, TTTC
Ms. L. Marie Guillory, NTCA counsel (without attachment)
Mr. Jeff Crockett, TTTC counsel (email copy)